

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,228 11/14/2001		11/14/2001	Raymond J. Mueller	00-106	8478	
22927	7590	11/08/2004		EXAMINER		
WALKER FIVE HIGH		-	RETTA, Y	RETTA, YEHDEGA		
STAMFORI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,			3622		
				DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/993,22	8	MUELLER ET AL.	E				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
_		Yehdega		3622					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the pro	N. \ 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statu od will appty and will ute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	August 2004							
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is n	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6) <b>⊠</b> 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-3,6,7,9 and 10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4, 5, 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-3,6,7,9 and 10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the f		•		• •				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been ents have been riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age				
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	5) Notice of Informal P		i2)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

# DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Amendment

This office action is responsive to amendment filed August 4, 2004. Claims 6-8 have been renumbered and claims 9 and 10 have been added.

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-3 and 6-7, in the reply filed on August 4, 2004 is acknowledged.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological art; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical science as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For the process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

The independently claimed steps of receiving order information and determining an offer do not require structural interaction or mechanical intervention such that the invention falls within the technological arts permitting statutory patent protection. The claimed step of receiving order information, determining an offer does not apply, involve, use or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of user or by use of a pencil and paper. Claims reciting those steps can be performed by interpersonal communications such that the claimed steps can be performed without a physical structure or mechanical object. The

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces an offer (i.e., repeatable) prediction (i.e., useful ant tangible). Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, since claimed invention, as a whole, is not with the technological art as explained above, the claims are deemed to be directed to non-statutory matter.

method only constitutes an idea for determining an offer.

However in order to examine the claimed invention in light of the prior art, further rejections will be made on the assumption that those claims are statutorily permitted.

### Claim Objections

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Art Unit: 3622

The test for a proper dependent claim is whether the dependent claim includes every limitation of the parent claim. The test is not whether the claims differ in scope. A proper dependent claim shall not conceivably be infringed by anything which would not also infringe the basic claim. If independent claim recites a method of making a specified product, a claim to the product set forth in the independent claim would not be a proper dependent claim since it is conceivable that the product claim can be infringed without infringing the base method claim if the product can be made by a method other than that recited in the base method claim. Therefore, claims 6 and 7 are improper dependent claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,119,099 and further in view of Wollaston et al. 6,061,506.

Regarding claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9 and 10, Walker teaches method comprising of receiving an order information; determining an offer based on order information, such as, order price, round-up amount, etc., (see col. Abstract, col. 5 lines 1-67, col. 7 line 14 to col. 8 line 53). Walker does not teach determining an offer based on genetic program or genetic algorithm, it is taught in Wollaston. Wollaston teaches genetic algorithms been implemented for query optimization. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the of applicant's invention was

Application/Control Number: 09/993,228

Art Unit: 3622

made to modify Walker's determination of offer with Wollaston's genetic algorithm in order to optimize query. Walker also teaches processor and storage device coupled to the processor and

Page 5

storing instructions (see fig 1a and 1b).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (703) 305-0436. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

YR